

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/389,826	09/03/1999	HANS U. SCHROEDER	PHN-17.073	5932	
' 7	2590 03/07/2002				
CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL			EXAMINER		
U S PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591			NADAV, ORI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811	2811	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/389,826	SCHROEDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ari nedevi	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum days after the maximum days and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of the statute, cause the application to become ABANDO ailing date of this communication, even if timely find the statute of the	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 November 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution us to the second se						
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the approximation of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
4a) Of the above dami(s) island						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
— is abjected to by the Exa	miner.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
The proposed drawing correction filed on is. a) approved by:						
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
		40(a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) 01 (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
man were a series of the priority doci	and the priority documents have been received.					
- the priority doct	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	e priority documents have been re nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived.				
to demont is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 0.3.0. § 115(5) (to a priority under 35 0.3.0.						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	. ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	JII 100011 0				
Attachment(s)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 16				

Application/Control Number: 09/389,826

Art Unit: 2811

y 4

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification recites a gated diode. There is no support for at least two gated diodes, as recited in claims 1 and 7-8.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 7 depends on claim 7, thus rendering it indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/389,826

Art Unit: 2811

ه نیم

6. The claimed limitation of two gates, as recited in claim 9, is unclear as to which two gates applicant refers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-9, insofar as in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ker et al. (5,572,394).

Ker et al. teach in figure 9 a semiconductor device having an ESD protection means being an SCR and a gated electrode, provided in a surface area (P-SUBSTRATE) of a first conductivity type having a single well (N-WELL) of a second conductivity type, wherein a surface zone (P+) of the first conductivity type is forms a first anode and cathode area of the SCR element, the surface area has a surface zone (N+) of the second conductivity type, noted as a first zone, situated remotely from the well and forming a second anode and cathode area of the SCR element, the gated diode containing a gate insulated from the surface area and a highly doped (N+) second conductivity type surface zone aligned to the gate, noted as a second zone, which

த் அள்ளை/Control Number: 09/389,வ26

Art Unit: 2811

aligned surface zone partly overlaps the well of the second conductivity type, wherein the second zone stretches out only along a part of the periphery of the well, whereas the first zone is provided along at least another part of this periphery which is free from the second zone.

Regarding the claimed limitation of a single well, although figure 9 of Ker et al. depicts three wells, figure 9 also depicts a single well. The claimed limitations do not include protection means consisting of a single well. Therefore, the broad recitation of the claim does not preclude the protection means from comprising more than a single well. Ker et al. do not teach two gated diodes. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use two gated diodes in Ker et al.'s device in order to use the device in an application which requires plurality of protection circuits.

Regarding claim 2, Ker et al. teach in figure 11 the gate of the gated electrode substantially stretches out only along the part of the periphery of the well along which also the second zone stretches out.

Regarding claim 3, the gated diode having a further surface zone (N+) of the second conductivity type deposited in the surface area of the first conductivity type and forming the other of the source/drain zones of the transistor, wherein the first zone being

Application/Control News (19/389,826)

Art Unit: 2811

situated at a shorter lateral distance from the surface zone provided n the well than the further surface zone.

Regarding claim 4, although Ker et al. do not explicitly disclose a further zone and a first zone form a second conductivity type zone this feature is inherent in Ker et al.'s device, because Ker et al.'s structure is identical to the claimed structure.

Regarding claim 5, the first and second conductivity types are p and n conductivity types, respectively, wherein the first zone and the first conductivity type zone in the well form the cathode and anode of the SCR element, respectively.

Regarding claim 6, Ker et al. teach the well of the second conductivity type is arranged in the form of a longitudinal zone, the surface zone of the first conductivity type is formed by a longitudinal zone in the well of second conductivity type which well has in its center an opening at the position of which a highly doped zone of the second conductivity type is provided which forms a contact area for the well of second conductivity type.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the at least two gated diodes on the

Art Unit: 2811

right-hand end of the longitudinal zone which comprises the insulated gate and the highly doped second conductivity type surface one which partly overlaps the well of the second conductivity type, wherein the at least two gated diodes are arranged as a MOS transistor having a further zone of the second conductivity type in Ker et al.'s device, since the location of the two gated diodes is a matter of design choice within the skills of an artisan, subject to routine experimentation and optimization.

Regarding claim 9, Ker et al. teach the cathode of the SCR is provided along the part of the periphery of the well of the second conductivity type that is free from the at least two gates.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax C nt r is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Application/Control Number: 09/389,826

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 3 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

TOM THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

÷ад.

Ori Nadav

March 2, 2002